UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

UNITY SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION 250 NORTH WOLFE ROAD SUNNYVALE CA 94085

COPY MAILED JAN 0 9 2006 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Rinerson et al.

Application No. 10/612,776

Filed: 1 July, 2003

Atty Docket No. UNTYP018

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed on 1 September, 2005, under 37 CFR 1.137(b), to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned on 20 August, 2005, for failure to timely submit the issue and publication fees in response to the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due mailed on 19 May, 2005, which set a three (3) month statutory period for reply. filing of the present petition precedes the mailing of Notice of Abandonment.

Receipt of the petition under 37 CFR 1.48(a) is acknowledged. The application is being referred to the Primary Examiner for

¹ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application, abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

consideration of this petition in accordance with MPEP 1002.02(e).

This application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 2824 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions